Town Board Minutes

February 9, 2004

Meeting No. 5

A joint meeting of the Town Board and the Planning Board of the Town of Lancaster, New York, was held at the Lancaster Town Hall, 21 Central Avenue, Lancaster, New York on the 9th day of February 2004, at 6:30 PM and there were

PRESENT:

DANIEL AMATURA, COUNCIL MEMBER

MARK MONTOUR, COUNCIL MEMBER

RONALD RUFFINO, COUNCIL MEMBER

DONNA STEMPNIAK, COUNCIL MEMBER

ROBERT GIZA, SUPERVISOR

JOHN GOBER, PLANNING BOARD MEMBER

LAWRENCE KORZENIEWSKI, PLANNING BOARD MEMBER

MICHAEL MYSZKA, PLANNING BOARD MEMBER

STEVEN SOCHA, PLANNING BOARD MEMBER

MELVIN SZYMANSKI, PLANNING BOARD MEMBER

STANLEY KEYSA, PLANNING BOARD CHAIRMAN*

ABSENT:

REBECCA ANDERSON, PLANNING BOARD MEMBER

ALSO PRESENT:

JOHANNA COLEMAN, TOWN CLERK

RICHARD SHERWOOD, TOWN ATTORNEY

LEONARD CAMPISANO, ASSISTANT BUILDING INSPECTOR

ROBERT LABENSKI, TOWN ENGINEER

* Recused himself from participation and voting because he represented the prior owner in the sale of the subject property to Homes By Natale, Inc. approximately twenty (20) years ago.

PURPOSE OF MEETING:

This joint meeting of the Town Board and Planning Board of the Town of Lancaster was held for the purpose of acting as a Municipal Review Committee for one (1) action.

IN THE MATTER OF THE SEQR REVIEW OF THE

HOMES BY NATALE, INC.

The Municipal Review Committee proceeded with the Long Environmental Assessment Form on the Homes By Natale, Inc. rezone matter with an item for item review and discussion of the project impact and magnitude as outlined on the Long Environmental Assessment Form entitled "Part 2 Project Impacts and Their Magnitude" which was provided to each member.

THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTION WAS OFFERED BY PLANNING BOARD MEMBER MYSZKA WHO MOVED ITS ADOPTION, SECONDED BY COUNCIL MEMBER MONTOUR, TO WIT:

RESOLVED, that the following Negative Declaration be adopted:

NOTICE OF DETERMINATION HOMES BY NATALE, INC. NEGATIVE DECLARATION

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, that the Town of Lancaster, acting as the designated lead agency under the State Environmental Quality Review Act, has reviewed the following described proposed action, which is an Unlisted action, through its designated Municipal Review Committee, and that committee having found no significant environmental impact relative to the criteria found in 6NYCRR, Part 617.7, the lead agency now issues a Negative Declaration for the purposes of Article 8 of the Environmental Conservation Law in accordance with 617.12.

NAME AND ADDRESS OF LEAD AGENCY

Town of Lancaster 21 Central Avenue Lancaster, New York 14086 Richard J. Sherwood, Town Attorney 716-684-3342

NATURE, EXTENT AND LOCATION OF ACTION:

The proposed development is of a parcel involving approximately 19± acres.

The location of the premises being reviewed is 5067 William Street, Lancaster, County of Erie, New York.

REASONS SUPPORTING DETERMINATION

The lead agency, the Town of Lancaster, through the review of the Municipal Review Committee, which is made up of at least three (3) members of the Town Board of the Town of Lancaster together with at least three (3) members of the Planning Board of the Town of Lancaster, has found the proposed action impacts to be as follows:

- 1. The proposed action will result in a small to moderate physical change to the project site.
 - a.) It is noted that there will be construction on land where the depth to the water table is .5 foot to 1.5 feet.
 - b.) Construction will continue for more than one year and may involve more than one stage or phase.
- 2. The proposed action will not effect any unique or unusual land forms found on the site.
- 3. The proposed action will not affect any water body designated as protected.
- 4. The proposed action will not affect any non-protected existing or new body of water.
- 5. The proposed action will have a small to moderate impact on surface or ground water quality or quantity.
 - a) It is noted that a State Pollution Discharge Elimination System (SPDES) General Permit for Discharge from Construction Activities is required.
 - b) The proposed action anticipates water usage of $31,050 \pm \text{gallons per day}$.
- 6. The proposed action will have a small to moderate impact on drainage flow patterns or surface water runoff. It is noted that:
 - a.) That the jurisdictional wetland to the south will be avoided by this project.
 - b.) The cross country drainage ditch will be an open ditch which is located in the common area of the development.
- 7. The proposed action will not affect air quality.
- 8. The proposed action will have a small to moderate impact on threatened or endangered species.
 - It is noted that pesticides or herbicides are likely to be applied more than twice per year for lawn care.
- 9. The proposed action will have a small to moderate impact on non-threatened or non-endangered species.
 - It is noted that the wetland habitat to the south will be avoided by this project.
- 10. The proposed action will not affect agricultural land resources.
- 11. The proposed action will not affect aesthetic resources.

- 12. The proposed action will not impact any site or structure of historic, pre-historic or paleontological importance.
- 13. The proposed action will not affect the quantity or quality of existing or future open spaces or recreational opportunities.
- 14. The Town of Lancaster has not established a critical environmental area (CEA) pursuant to subdivision 6NYCRR617.14(g), therefore the proposed action will not impact the exceptional or unique characteristics of a critical environmental area (CEA).
- 15. The proposed action will not affect existing transportation systems.
- 16. The proposed action will not affect the community's sources of fuel or energy supply.
- 17. There will not be objectionable odors, noise, or vibration as a result of this proposed action.
- 18. The proposed action will not affect public health and safety.
- 19. The proposed action will have a small to moderate impact on the character of the existing community.
 - a.) There is a small to moderate conflict with officially adopted plans or goals.
 - b.) There will be a small to moderate increase in the density of land use.
 - c.) There will be a small to moderate demand for additional school, police and fire services.
 - d.) There will be a small to moderate precedent set for future projects.
 - e.) During construction there will be a small to moderate increase in employment.
- 20. There is not, nor is there likely to be, public controversy related to potential adverse environmental impacts.

/s		<u> </u>
	Robert H. Giza,	Supervisor
	Town	of Lancaster

SEAL

February 9, 2004

and,

BE IT FURTHER

RESOLVED, that the Supervisor of the Town of Lancaster be and is hereby authorized to execute a "Negative Declaration" Notice of Determination of Non-Significance in this matter, and

BE IT FURTHER

RESOLVED, that the Town Attorney's Office prepare and file a "Negative Declaration" Notice of Determination of Non-Significance in this matter with the petitioner and with all required New York State and Erie County agencies, filing a copy of the letter of transmittal and "Negative Declaration" with the Town Clerk.

The question of the adoption of the foregoing Notice of Determination was duly put to a voice vote which resulted as follows:

COUNCIL MEMBER AMATURA	VOTED YES
COUNCIL MEMBER MONTOUR	VOTED YES
COUNCIL MEMBER RUFFINO	VOTED YES
COUNCIL MEMBER STEMPNIAK	VOTED YES
SUPERVISOR GIZA	VOTED YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER ANDERSON	WAS ABSENT
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER GOBER	VOTED YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER KORZENIEWSKI	VOTED YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER MYSZKA	VOTED YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER SOCHA	VOTED YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER SZYMANSKI	VOTED YES
PLANNING BOARD CHAIRMAN KEYSA	ABSTAINED

The Notice of Determination was thereupon adopted.

February 9, 2004

ADJOURNMENT:

ON MOTION OF PLANNING BOARD MEMBER SZYMANSKI AND SECONDED BY PLANNING BOARD MEMBER SOCHA FOR ADJOURNMENT OF THE MEETING, on voice vote, which resulted as follows:

COUNCIL MEMBER AMATURA	VOTED YES
COUNCIL MEMBER MONTOUR	VOTED YES
COUNCIL MEMBER RUFFINO	VOTED YES
COUNCIL MEMBER STEMPNIAK	VOTED YES
SUPERVISOR GIZA	VOTED YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER ANDERSON	WAS ABSENT
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER GOBER	VOTED YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER KORZENIEWSKI	VOTED YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER MYSZKA	VOTED YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER SOCHA	VOTED YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER SZYMANSKI	VOTED YES
PLANNING BOARD CHAIRMAN KEYSA	VOTED YES

The meeting was adjourned at 7:03 P.M.

gned Meum M. Cleum Johanna M. Coleman, Town Clerk